









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,527	11/14/2001	Robert L. Newell	A-00.101.1	8399
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
Arthur Jacob			MANAHAN, TODD E	
25 East Salem Street P.O. Box 686			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Hackensack, NJ 07602			3732	\sim
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	<i>y</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~ / K				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/993,527	NEWELL, ROBERT L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Todd E. Manahan	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimun d will apply and will expire SIX (tte, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	' August 2003 .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideratio	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiremen	nt.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		0.0. § 113(a)-(a) 01 (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	1				
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome. 	• •					
Attachment(s)		•				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/993,527

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leone (U.S. Patent No. 5,611,361) in view of either Burns (U.S. Patent No. 5,022,112) or O'Biren et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,032,456).

Leone discloses a mascara brush wherein the bristles are placed in a spiral arrangement having a plurality of turns around a support. The bristles have a diameter of about 2.5 to 3.5 mils and the brush has more than 60 and up to 120 bristles within each turn of the spiral (see col. 3, lines 19-30). Leone discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the bristles having a textured surface modified to include a multiplicity of indents. Both Burns and O'Brien disclose bristles for applicator brushes in which the surface thereof has been modified to include a multiplicity of indents so as to provide a rough surface which enhances the ability to hold the product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the bristles of the brush of Leone with a textured surface modified to include a multiplicity of indents in view either O'Brien et al or Burns in order to in order to enhance the bristles ability to hold the product. Regarding claims 3 and 8, to form the bristles of nylon 6.12 would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its

Application/Control Number: 09/993,527

Art Unit: 3732

suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leone in view of either Burns or O'Brien et al as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Fitjer (U.S. Patent No. 5,161,554).

Fitjer discloses a brush having bristles which have been modified to include a non-uniform wavy appearance in order to imitate the look and feel of natural bristles and improve the pickup and lay-off of cosmetic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bristles to include a non-uniform wavy appearance in order to imitate the look and feel of natural bristles and further improve the pickup and lay-off of cosmetic.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 703 308-2695.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 703 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,527

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0858.

Todd E. Manahan

Primary Examiner

T. E. Manahan 07 October 2003